



International Journal of Modern Chemistry and Applied Science

International Journal of Modern Chemistry and Applied Science 2016, 3(1),359-368

The Study On Hydro Geochemical Characteristics Of Aquifers Of Bhaskar Rao Kunta Watershed, Nalgonda District, Telangana, India

K.Srinivasa Reddy and G.Prabhakar

*Department of Geology, University College of Science, Saifabad, Osmania University, Hyderabad-4,
project_prabhakar@yahoo.co.in*

Abstract: Semi-arid region of Bhaskar Rao kunta watershed was studied to evaluate hydrogeochemical characteristic of the fractured, semi-confined and water-stressed aquifer. Twenty groundwater samples were collected from deeper bore wells during pre and post monsoon seasons June and December 2014. The APHA standard methods were followed and analyzed for concentrations of physicochemical parameters (pH, EC, TDS, TH, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, HCO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ and F⁻). The results of concentrations were interpreted with Piper, Gibbs and Wilcox diagrams. For inter relationship of the parameters were measured with a correlation matrix and t-test methods. Bureau of Indian standards specifications were used and verified for suitability of groundwater quality. The type of the groundwater quality was understood from the interpreted diagrams of Piper (85% magnesium bicarbonate and 15% mixed type of samples), Gibbs (100% evaporation type samples) and Wilcox (85% high salinity and 15% moderate salinity type of samples). The highest correlation was found between EC and TDS with a correlation coefficient of 0.98 and the t-test behavior was no significance, therefore the geogenic and rock water interaction was negligible with respect to seasonal variation. Due to high concentrations of fluoride (<1.5mg/L) and salinity (750<2250 μS/cm) the groundwater quality was not suitable for drinking and special drainage system and crop practices requisite for irrigation purpose in the study area.

Keywords: Bhaskar Rao kunta watershed, Hydrogeochemistry, Piper diagram, Gibbs diagram, Wilcox diagram, Correlation matrix, t-test.

Introduction

Water quality is required in emergency situations to determine whether water is safe to drinking/irrigation and industrial purpose. A number of studies on groundwater quality with respect to drinking/irrigation/industrial purposes have been carried out in the different parts of India (Majumdar et al, 2000; Sujatha et al, 2003; Sunitha et al, 2005; Subba Rao, 2006; Reddy 2010; Ravi Kumar et al, 2011). The ground water quality in shallow aquifers was generally suitable for use for different purposes and its quality was generally of calcium bicarbonate (EC<750 μS/cm) and mixed type (EC is 750 ≤ 3000 μS/cm) (CGWB, 2010). The quality in deeper aquifers also varies from place to place and was generally found suitable for all uses. In some cases, ground water had been found unsuitable for specific uses due to various contaminations mainly because of geogenic reasons (Reddy et. al, 2009). It is essential to classify the groundwater on the basis of chemical analyze to know the type of water, composition and concentration of various constituents. In the present study, the physiochemical quality of groundwater had assessed with reference to their suitability for drinking/agricultural purpose and inferred interrelationship of variables with different statistical methods.

Study Area

The Bhaskar Rao kunta watershed was located at the Krishna lower basin and covered in Survey of India (SoI) toposheet No: 56 P/6 & 56 P/10 (1:50000 scale), geographically lies between northern latitudes from 16°

42' 25" to 16° 37' 58" and eastern longitudes from 79° 28' 15" to 79° 32' 30" and politically placed in Damaracherla Mandal, Nalgonda districts of Andhra Pradesh state, India (Fig.1). The watershed area was exposed 40.25 sq.km and slightly undulated terrain with moderate slopes. Altitude varies ranges of 80 to 140m above the mean sea level. Annual normal rain fall was 737mm and average maximum and minimum temperature was being 40°C and 28°C respectively, drainage pattern was shows dendritic to sub-dendritic, governed by relief, regional slope, and homogenous lithology, exhibited by streams, which could be either due to structural or topographic control. Entirely 146 streams was curved with contributes the flow of mostly dry except for seasonal run-off.

Geology and Soils

The area was geologically consisted with the Kurnool group of Palnadu sub basin and partially covered by Srisailam succession of Kadapa super group. General sequence of sub-surface strata was encountered with top soil, weathered/semi weathered, and shale/quartzite. Srisailam sub basin rock was exposed with Quartzites. The Quartzites was inter bedded with thin siltstone units and usually thick bedded, dense and fine to medium grained. Palnadu sub-basin rocks were exposed with calcareous (chemical precipitates) sediments like quartzites, shales and flaggy-massive limestones. Soils were consisted with red, red sandy and black soils.

Material and Methods

Twenty groundwater samples were collected from working deeper bore wells (depth 60m) during in pre and

post monsoon seasons at identical locations. Locations of sampling points were determined using a Global Positioning System (GPS). The locations of the collected groundwater samples are shown Fig.2. Collected sample bottles were labeled, sealed, and transported to the laboratory under standard preservation methods. The major anion and cation concentrations were determined in the laboratory followed a standard analytical procedures of American Public Health Association (APHA, 1998). The accuracy of the chemical analyses

was checked by taking the relationship between the total cations (Ca^{+2} , Mg^{+2} , Na^{+} and K^{+}) and the total anions (HCO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} , Cl^- , NO_3^- and F^-), analytical data had attempted through different plotting/graphical representation such as those of Piper (1994), Wilcox (1995) and Gibbs (1970) diagrams. Interrelationships of variables were measured with correlation matrix and t-test (using software Windows XP, and SPSS 18.0). Suitability of this water for its utility was verified Bureau of Indian Standards specification: BIS (1998).




Fig.1: Location of the Study Area Map




Fig.2: Groundwater Samples Locations Map

Results and Discussions

General Parameters:

pH is a measure of the balance between the concentration of hydrogen (H^+) ions and hydroxyl (OH^-) ions in solution. In acidic conditions, there are more H^+ ions than OH^- ions ($\text{pH} < 7$). In alkaline (basic) conditions, there are more OH^- ions than H^+ ions ($\text{pH} > 7$). When conditions are neutral, the concentration of H^+ and OH^-

ions are equal ($\text{pH} = 7$). In the study area, the pH values are varies minimum and maximum range of 8 to 9 in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively (Table 1), indicating a slightly acidic to slightly basic nature. 75% and 100% of the samples are showed a pH value within the permissible limit of 6.5 to 8.5 (BIS, 1998), except 25% of the samples (sample no.10, 14, 19 and 20) crossing the permissible limit in pre-monsoon (Table.1).




Fig.3: Classification diagram of Piper (a) Hydrochemical facies (b) Pre-monsoon (c) Post-monsoon

Electrical conductivity (EC) measures a conductivity of the water, a higher EC means are more cations and anions in the water, with more ions in the water the water's electrical conductivity (EC) increases then water becomes saline. It is generally considered problematic for irrigation use on crops with low or medium salt tolerance. In the study area, the electrical conductivity (EC) varies widely minimum and maximum range of 650 to 1497 and 650 to 1526 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively (Table.1). 100% of the samples are showed within the permissible limit of 3000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ (BIS, 1998). The total mass of dissolved constituents is referred to as the total dissolved solids (TDS) concentration. In water, all of the dissolved solids are either positively charged

ions (cations) or negatively charged ions (anions). The total negative charge of the anions always equals the total positive charge of the cations. A higher TDS means that there are more cations and anions in the water. In the study area, the TDS values varies widely minimum and maximum range of 321 to 729 and 325 to 730mg/L in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively (Table 1), 100% of the samples are showed within the permissible limit of 2000 mg/L (BIS, 1998).

Cation Chemistry:

Ca^{+2} are present in all natural water and contribute to the hardness of water. It sources of Ca^{+2} in sedimentary minerals such as dolomite, calcite, aragonite; gypsum,





Fig.4: Will Cox diagram (a) Pre-monsoon (b) Post-monsoon



anhydride, and igneous rocks are weathering of feldspathic and ferro-magnesian such as plagioclase feldspars, amphiboles, pyroxenes and clay minerals.

In the study area Ca^{+2} concentrations are varies minimum and maximum range of 73 to 151 and 72 to 152mg/L in pre and post-monsoon seasons respectively. 100% of the samples are within the permissible limits of 200 mg/L (BIS, 1998).

Mg^{+2} are present in all natural waters and most common element in the earth crust. Commonly source minerals are mafic minerals (amphiboles, olivine and pyroxenes) dolomite, chlorite, magnesite and clay minerals. It is an important contributor to water hardness. In the study area, Mg^{+2} concentrations are varies widely minimum and maximum range of 53 to 102 and 53 to 107mg/L in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively. 90%, 95% of the samples are permissible limits of 100 mg/L (BIS, 1998), except 10% (sample.no12 and 7) and 5% of the samples (sample. no 7) are crossing the permissible limits.

Na^{+} is a common chemical in minerals, commonly found in soils and minerals are feldspars (Albite), evaporites, such as halite (Na Cl), clay and industrial wastes. In these forms, they readily dissolve in water. It is released slowly upon dissolution of rocks. Consequently, concentrations increase as residence time in ground water increases. There are no health-based drinking water standards for sodium, neither has a secondary drinking standard. Sodium intake may lead to hypertension and be a concern for people with heart conditions. In the study area sodium concentrations are varies minimum and maximum range of 63 to 120 and 66 to122 mg/L in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively.

K^{+} is common in many rocks source such as weathering of feldspar minerals are (orthoclase and microcline) feldspathoids, micas, and clay. Potassium is gradually released from rocks. Many of these rocks are relatively soluble and potassium concentrations in ground water increase with time. Potassium, an important fertilizer, there are no health-based drinking water standards for potassium, neither has a secondary drinking standard. In the study area potassium concentrations are varies minimum and maximum range of 0.6 to 1.5 and 0.5 to1.1 mg/L in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively.

Total Hardness (TH) primarily sources was presence of cations such as calcium and magnesium and anions such as carbonate, bicarbonate, chloride, and sulfate in water. In the study area, the total hardness concentrations are varies minimum and maximum range of 323 to 325 and 325 to 575mg/L in pre and post-monsoon seasons respectively. It is 100% of the samples were within the permissible limit of 600 mg/L (BIS, 1998).

Anion Chemistry:

HCO_3 source rocks are limestones and dolomites. The source of bicarbonate is attributed to the dissociation of the carbonic acid. Oxidation of organic matter by microbes generates CO_2 which then combine with water to form carbonic acid. The high carbonate indicated that intense chemical weathering has taken place in the drainage basin due to microbial activity. In the study area, bicarbonates concentrations are vary minimum and maximum range of 165 to 260 and 168 to 262 mg/L in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively. 100% of the samples are within the permissible limits of 400 (BIS, 1998). SO_4^{2-} are major source rocks are oxidation of sulfide ores, gypsum, anhydrides. It occurs in water as the inorganic sulfate salts as well as dissolved gas (H_2S) sulfate is not a noxious substance although high sulfates in water may have a laxative effect. High concentration of sulfates indicated pollution from application of fertilizers to agricultural lands. Sulfate ions usually occurred in natural water and water containing high concentration of sulfate ions has laxative effect. In the study area, sulfates concentrations are vary minimum and maximum range of 19 to 43 and 19 to 46mg/L in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively. 100% of the samples are within the permissible limits of 400mg/L (BIS, 1998).

NO_3^- sources commonly human activity such as application of fertilizer in farming practices, human and animal waste. The concentration of nitrate varies minimum and maximum range of 17 to 49 and 18 to 51mg/L in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively. In the study area, 95%, 100% of the samples are within the permissible limits of 45mg/L (BIS, 1998), except 5% of the samples (sample no.16) concentrations are crossing the permissible limits in pre monsoon.

Cl^- is presence in all natural water, chief sources are igneous and sedimentary rocks (evaporites), usually in relatively small amount of weathering and subsequent release of ions from the underlying rocks and human sources. High concentration of chloride content imparts a salty taste to the water. In the study area the concentration of chloride varies minimum and maximum range of 30 to150 and 31to120mg/L in pre and post-monsoon seasons respectively. It is 100% of the samples are within the permissible limits of 250mg/L (BIS, 1998).

F^- commonly apatite minerals are principle sources for fluoride content in the groundwater. Natural concentration of fluoride commonly varies from about 0.01 to 10ppm. Fluoride in excessive concentration may cause dental defects, affect bone structure and in acute causes fluorosis. In the study area, fluoride concentrations are varies minimum and maximum range of 2 to 4mg/L in pre and post-monsoon seasons respectively. It is 100% of the sample concentrations are crossing the permissible limits of 1.5mg/L (BIS, 1998),

Table 1: Results of Hydro chemical Parameter concentrations in Pre and Post monsoon Seasons

Longitude	Latitude	Pre-monsoon													Post-monsoon												
		PH	EC	TH	Ca	Mg	Na	K	HCO ₃	Cl	SO ₄	NO ₃	TDS	F	PH	EC	TH	Ca	Mg	Na	K	HCO ₃	Cl	SO ₄	NO ₃	TDS	F
79.48184	16.69462	8	859	407	96	72	72	0.8	199	57	23	25	425	3	8	862	418	103	78	75	0.8	206	61	27	29	431	3
79.52091	16.69261	8	795	446	79	83	120	1.0	231	37	39	26	395	3	8	798	450	82	89	122	1.0	241	39	41	28	399	3
79.51853	16.69924	8	840	495	88	87	80	1.0	219	95	33	25	425	4	8	855	500	92	89	81	0.9	226	97	34	29	427	4
79.50391	16.67703	8	1300	423	127	88	110	1.0	192	115	28	26	650	4	8	1304	425	132	89	109	0.9	195	120	29	26	652	4
79.50153	16.67625	8	751	422	97	65	103	0.8	184	50	31	23	377	2	8	758	425	101	68	106	0.7	189	51	32	24	379	2
79.49611	16.68746	8	1349	523	140	94	81	1.0	237	110	23	40	670	3	7	1355	525	144	97	86	1.1	244	113	25	41	677	3
79.49947	16.67665	8	873	396	116	100	70	0.8	217	60	19	29	435	3	8	876	400	118	103	74	0.8	220	61	19	30	438	3
79.49188	16.67732	8	965	446	118	72	81	0.8	241	95	31	30	480	3	8	967	450	122	73	82	0.7	247	96	32	32	483	4
79.52731	16.69863	8	689	323	108	53	89	0.7	165	93	23	29	348	2	8	698	325	112	53	91	0.6	168	96	26	31	349	2
79.51375	16.70373	9	781	397	86	91	81	0.6	196	47	27	21	390	2	8	782	400	89	92	82	0.5	198	48	29	22	391	2
79.52091	16.70356	8	829	370	91	67	75	1.0	221	38	43	35	414	3	8	830	375	92	69	74	1.0	229	39	46	39	415	3
79.47841	16.66934	8	661	573	95	102	102	0.9	179	30	25	40	328	4	8	662	575	96	107	106	0.9	183	31	26	41	331	4
79.48162	16.68361	8	650	349	73	56	63	0.7	177	35	19	38	321	3	8	650	350	72	58	66	0.7	183	36	19	39	325	3
79.48616	16.67927	9	852	447	130	76	71	0.8	196	73	39	24	420	4	8	849	450	131	76	72	0.7	198	76	41	26	425	4
79.52356	16.67901	8	1001	347	85	69	106	1.0	260	46	36	17	500	2	8	1008	350	88	71	110	0.9	262	47	38	18	504	2
79.51143	16.65753	8	1421	396	114	70	80	1.0	244	102	37	49	711	2	8	1428	400	116	73	83	0.9	250	109	39	51	714	2
79.51427	16.66217	9	1453	520	151	91	77	1.0	236	54	35	20	729	3	8	1460	525	152	93	78	1.1	241	59	37	21	730	4
79.50864	16.67751	8	1027	542	124	95	80	0.9	192	97	24	31	573	3	8	1031	550	128	96	81	0.7	189	99	25	32	576	3
79.50442	16.66661	9	901	497	97	97	91	1.0	212	98	27	41	452	3	8	910	500	101	98	93	1.0	214	106	29	43	455	3
79.52186	16.65682	9	1497	536	119	88	91	1.5	237	83	38	34	651	3	8	1526	550	127	94	97	1.0	243	87	42	39.7	663	3

All units are expressed in mg/L except pH, EC (µS/cm)

Table 2: Summary Statistics of Hydro chemical Parameter Concentrations

Parameters	Pre-monsoon									Post-monsoon								
	Min	Max	Mean	SD	SE	SV	CV	Kurtosis	Skewness	Min	Max	Mean	SD	SE	SV	CV	Kurtosis	Skewness
PH	8	9	8	0.35	0.08	0.13	4.29	-0.59	0.36	7	8	8	0.24	0.05	0.06	2.97	1.53	-0.73
EC	650	1497	981	281	65	79167	28.69	-0.80	0.78	650	1526	987	285	65	81094	28.86	-0.75	0.80
TH	323	573	445	74	17	5469	16.63	-1.09	0.09	325	575	449	75	17	5658	16.76	-1.11	0.10
Ca	73	151	107	22	5	470	20.22	-0.74	0.29	72	152	110	22	5	492	20.13	-0.87	0.15
Mg	53	102	81	15	3	222	18.33	-0.96	-0.44	53	107	84	15	4	239	18.51	-0.82	-0.44
N a	63	120	87	15	3	229	17.40	-0.23	0.66	66	122	89	15	4	236	17.25	-0.53	0.60
K	0.57	1.53	0.92	0.20	0.04	0.04	21.38	5.01	1.38	0.46	1.12	0.84	0.18	0.04	0.03	20.79	-0.50	-0.41
HCO3	165	260	212	27	6	732	12.73	-1.13	-0.07	168	262	217	28	6	794	13.00	-1.35	-0.09
Cl	30	115	71	29	7	826	40.22	-1.65	-0.01	31	120	74	30	7	903	40.49	-1.64	0.00
SO4	19	43	30	7	2	52	23.75	-1.12	0.02	19	46	32	8	2	61	24.47	-0.89	0.02
NO3	17	49	30	8	2	71	27.71	-0.33	0.47	18	51	32	9	2	77	27.18	-0.52	0.34
TDS	321	729	488	134	30.73	17945	27.46	-1.01	0.64	325	730	491	135	31	18171	27.44	-1.05	0.63
F	2	4	3	0.70	0.16	0.50	24.02	-1.13	-0.17	2	4	3	0.74	0.17	0.54	23.88	-1.34	-0.30

All units are in mg/L, EC in $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$; SD=Standard Deviation; SE= Standard Error; SV=Sample Variance; CV=Coffi. Of Variance

Table 3: Hydrochemical Results in Piper Trilinear Diagram

Subdivision of the diamond	Class	Samples Falling in Dissimilar Seasons			
		Pre-monsoon		Post-monsoon	
		%	No. of samples	%	No. of samples
1	Alkaline earth(Ca + Mg) exceed alkalies (Na + K)	100	All samples	100	All samples
2	Alkalies exceeds alkaline earths	00	Nil	00	Nil
3	Weak acids(CO ₃ + HCO ₃)exceed strong acids(SO ₄ + Cl)	85	(17) expect 4,9,18	85	(17) expect 4,9,18
4	Strong acids exceeds weak acids	15	(3) 4,9,18	15	(3) 4,9,18
5	Magnesium bicarbonates	85	(17) expect 4,9,18	85	(17) expect 4,9,18
6	Calcium-chloride type	00	Nil	00	Nil
7	Sodium-chloride type	00	Nil	00	Nil
8	Sodium-bicarbonate type	00	Nil	00	Nil
9	Mixed type (no cation-anion exceed 50%)	00	(3) 4,9,18.	00	(3) 4,9,18.

Table 4: Results of Hydro geochemical Faces

Hydrogeochemical face of the different seasons					
Pre-monsoon			Post-monsoon		
Facies	No. of samples & S.No	Percent	Facies	No. of samples & S.No	Percent
Mg-Ca-Na-HCO ₃	(8) 1,2,3,4,5,13,16,18	40	Mg-Ca-Na-HCO ₃	(9)1, 2, 3,4,5,9,13,16,18.	45
Mg-Ca-Na-HCO ₃ -Cl	(6) 8,10,11,14,17,19	30	Mg-Ca-Na-HCO ₃ -Cl	(5)8, 10,11,14,17.	25
Mg-Na-Ca-HCO ₃	(2) 7,12	10	Mg-Na-Ca-HCO ₃	(2)7,12	10
Ca-Mg-Na-HCO ₃	(2) 9,6	10	Ca-Mg-Na-HCO ₃ -Cl	(2)19,20	10
Mg-Ca-Na-Cl-HCO ₃	(1) 15	5	Mg-Ca-Na-Cl-HCO ₃	(1)15	5
Ca-Mg-Na-HCO ₃ -Cl	(1)20	5	Ca-Mg-Na-HCO ₃	(1)6	5

Table 5: Wilcox Classification of Groundwater

S.No	Class	Samples Falling in Dissimilar Seasons			
		Pre-monsoon		Post-monsoon	
		%	No. of samples and Range	%	No. of samples and Range
1	C2-S1	15	(3) 9, 12, 13.	15	(3) 9, 12, 13.
2	C3-S1	85	(17) Except 9, 12, 13.	85	(17) Except 9, 12, 13.

therefore, the groundwater is not suitable for the drinking purposes.

Piper Trilinear Diagram:

The hydrogeochemical of groundwater can be understood by plotting the major cation and anions in the Piper trilinear diagram (Piper, 1994). This diagram reveals similarities and differences among groundwater samples because those with similar qualities will tend to plot together as groups (Todd, 2001), this diagram is very useful in bringing out chemical relationships among

groundwater in more definitive terms (Walton,1970). The geochemical evolution can be understood from the Piper plots, which has been divided into four division and nine subdivisions are as follows in following (Table 3 and Fig.3) categories viz.

In the study area, an intervening major divisions diamond of shaped field, all the water samples are covered under class of Alkaline earth (Ca + Mg) exceed alkalies (Na + K) and 85%, 15% of samples are covered in the weak acids (CO₃ + HCO₃) exceed strong acids

(SO₄+Cl) type and strong acids exceeds weak acids type respectively. In the subdivision of diamond shaped field, 85%, 15% of similar samples are covered in the Magnesium bicarbonates type and mixed type in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively (Table 4 & Fig.3).

Will Cox Diagram:

Wilcox (1995) classified a groundwater for irrigation purposes based on salinity and sodium hazard. **Salinity Hazard:** low salinity water (C-1) can be used for irrigation of most crops on most soils, medium salinity water(C-2) can be used if a moderate amount of leaching occurs, high salinity water (C-3) can not be used on soils with restricted drainage and very high salinity water (C-4) is not suitable for irrigation. **Sodium Hazard:** low sodium water (S-1) can be used for irrigation on all most all soils, medium sodium waters (S-2) will present an appreciable sodium hazard in fine textured soils, high sodium water (S-3) may produce harmful levels of exchangeable sodium in most soils and very high sodium water (S-4) is generally unsatisfactory for irrigation purposes.

In the study area, the groundwater quality results were, 85%, 15% of similar samples are falling under the category C₃- S₁ and C2-S1 class in pre and post-monsoons seasons respectively (Table 5 and Fig 4 a & b). A high salt concentration present in the water will negatively affect the crop yields. Its giving results are soil and water management practices are required for salinity control like salt tolerance of the type of crop. For low sodium irrigation water may use all soils with all crops.

Gibbs Diagram:

Lastly, to know the groundwater chemistry and the relationship of the chemical components of water to their respective aquifers such as chemistry of the rock types, chemistry of precipitated water, and rate of evaporation, Gibbs (1970) has suggested a diagram in which ratio of dominant anions and cations are plotted against the value of TDS. Gibbs diagrams, representing the ratio 1 for

cations [(Na+K)/(Na+K+Ca)] and ratio 2 for anions [Cl/(Cl+ HCO₃)] as a function of TDS are widely employed to assess the functional sources of dissolved chemical constituents, such as precipitation dominance (PD), rock dominance (RD), and evaporation dominance (ED) (Gibbs1970).

The chemical data of groundwater samples were plotted in the Gibbs diagram (Fig.5). All samples were representing evaporation dominance, no significance of precipitation and rock dominance is in the study area. Evaporation increases salinity by increasing Na⁺ and Cl⁻ with relation to increase of TDS, and anthropogenic activities (agricultural fertilizers and irrigation return flows) also influence the evaporation by increasing Na⁺ and Cl⁻ and thus TDS.

Correlation Matrix:

A commonly used to measure of the relationship between two variables is the correlation coefficient, which is simply a measure to show how well one variable predicts another (Krumbein et al, 1965). In general, three different sets of strong relationships exist between major cations and anions in an aqueous system (Douglas et al, 1977). Samples showing r>0.7 are considered to be strongly correlated whereas r between 0.5 and 0.7, and r<0.5 show moderate correlation and low correlation, at a significance level of p<0.05, respectively (Table 6). This analysis is the same as the following equation:

$$Y=B_0 +B_1 X_1 + B_2X_2+ \dots\dots\dots$$

Three different sets of strong relationships exist between major cations and anions are:

1. The highly competitive relationship between ions having same charge but a different valance number e.g.Ca²⁺ and Na⁺.
2. The affinity between ions having different charges but the same valance number e.g. Na⁺ and Cl⁻.
3. The non-competitive relationship between ions having the same charge and same valance number e.g.Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺.

Table 6(a): Correlation Matrix of Pre-monsoon

Parameters	Pre-monsoon												
	PH	EC	TH	Ca ⁺²	Mg ⁺²	Na ⁺	K ⁺	HCO ₃	Cl ⁻	SO ⁻² ₄	NO ⁻ ₃	TDS	F
PH	1												
EC	0.01	1											
TH	0.18	0.36	1										
Ca ⁺²	-0.13	0.71	0.43	1									
Mg ⁺²	0.09	0.28	0.76	0.34	1								
Na ⁺	-0.03	0.02	0.12	-0.19	0.12	1							
K ⁺	0.28	0.65	0.48	0.22	0.29	0.30	1						
HCO ₃	0.12	0.60	0.12	0.20	0.15	0.08	0.55	1					
Cl ⁻	-0.30	0.52	0.22	0.56	0.16	-0.04	0.18	0.13	1				
SO ⁻² ₄	0.34	0.29	0.03	0.02	-0.15	0.26	0.48	0.54	-0.11	1			
NO ⁻ ₃	-0.26	0.16	0.20	0.03	0.08	-0.19	0.22	0.01	0.28	-0.15	1		
TDS	-0.07	0.98	0.36	0.74	0.30	0.00	0.55	0.58	0.56	0.24	0.15	1	
F	-0.02	0.11	0.56	0.31	0.43	0.04	0.23	-0.02	0.20	0.21	0.04	0.11	1

Table 6(b): Correlation Matrix of Post-monsoon

Parameters	Post-monsoon													
	PH	EC	TH	Ca ⁺²	Mg ⁺²	Na ⁺	K ⁺	HCO ₃ ⁻	Cl ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	TDS	F	
PH	1													
EC	-0.46	1												
TH	-0.21	0.37	1											
Ca ⁺²	-0.71	0.72	0.44	1										
Mg ⁺²	-0.21	0.29	0.77	0.31	1									
Na ⁺	0.21	0.05	0.13	-0.16	0.17	1								
K ⁺	-0.19	0.56	0.41	0.28	0.37	0.24	1							
HCO ₃ ⁻	0.06	0.58	0.12	0.17	0.15	0.11	0.62	1						
Cl ⁻	-0.52	0.54	0.23	0.60	0.11	-0.05	0.16	0.11	1					
SO ₄ ²⁻	0.29	0.31	0.04	0.03	-0.15	0.22	0.39	0.55	-0.08	1				
NO ₃ ⁻	-0.21	0.18	0.21	-0.01	0.08	-0.18	0.30	0.06	0.29	-0.06	1			
TDS	-0.51	0.98	0.37	0.75	0.30	0.01	0.55	0.55	0.58	0.25	0.15	1		
F	-0.25	0.21	0.60	0.38	0.45	-0.06	0.42	0.11	0.15	0.21	-0.02	0.21	1	

1. Highly competitive ion relationship: cations such as Ca⁺² with Na⁺ negative and K⁺ positive correlation; Mg⁺² with Na⁺ and K⁺ positive correlation; anions such as SO₄²⁻ with HCO₃⁻, F⁻ have positive correlation and Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ have negative correlations.

2. Affinity ion relationship: monovalent ions are such as Na⁺ with Cl⁻, NO₃⁻ negative and HCO₃⁻ positive correlation, K⁺ with Cl⁻, F⁻, HCO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻ positive correlation. Bivalent ions are such as Ca⁺² with SO₄²⁻ positive and Mg⁺² with SO₄²⁻ negative correlation.

3. Non-competitive ion relationship: Bivalent ions are such as between Ca⁺² with Mg⁺² correlations are significant. Cl⁻ with HCO₃⁻, F⁻ and NO₃⁻ positive correlations, HCO₃⁻ with NO₃⁻ positive correlation.

In Table 6(a&b) shows good correlation between EC and

TDS with a correlation coefficient of 0.98, it indicates that EC is a measure of dissolved solids in the groundwater. Total dissolved solids have been taken into account as a dependent variable. Its mainly dependent on the concentration of major ions such as Ca⁺², K⁺, Mg⁺², HCO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, and NO₃⁻. TDS shows significant correlation with Cl⁻, Mg⁺², Ca⁺², SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻. The fluoride concentration is significance with TH, magnesium and potassium.

T-test:

The t-test model behavior was indicated within the same variables (between pre and post monsoon seasons) significance (probability levels: p 0.05) and strength (coefficient of determination=R²) of relationships. The results

Table 7: Summary Statistics of Differences Hydrochemical Concentration Between Pre - Post-monsoon Seasons

Parameters	Paired Differences Between Pre - Post-monsoon Seasons samples							Correlation	Sig.	t
	Mean	SD	SE	SV	CV	Kurtosis	Skewness			
PH	-0.3	0.26	0.06	0.07	1.32	-2.12	1.09	0.67	0.001	-5.23
EC	5.75	6.78	1.52	-1926.72	-0.17	-0.06	-0.02	1.00	0.000	3.79
TH	4.4	3.2	0.72	-189.09	-0.13	0.01	-0.01	1.00	0.000	6.15
Ca ⁺²	3.2	2.12	0.47	-22.22	0.09	0.13	0.14	1.00	0.000	6.76
Mg ⁺²	2.5	1.91	0.43	-17.61	-0.19	-0.13	0.00	0.99	0.000	5.87
Na ⁺	2.25	1.83	0.41	-7.56	0.15	0.29	0.06	0.99	0.000	5.49
K ⁺	-0.07	0.14	0.03	00.01	0.59	5.5	1.79	0.72	0.000	-2.39
HCO ₃ ⁻	4.49	2.74	0.61	-62.00	-0.26	0.22	0.03	1.00	0.000	7.31
Cl ⁻	2.8	2.12	0.47	-76.36	-0.27	-0.02	-0.01	1.00	0.000	5.91
SO ₄ ²⁻	1.8	1.11	0.25	-9.47	-0.72	-0.23	0.00	0.99	0.000	7.28
NO ₃ ⁻	1.94	1.43	0.32	-5.81	0.52	0.19	0.13	0.99	0.000	6.07
TDS	0.17	0.2	0.04	-225.15	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.96	0.000	3.86
F	3.5	2.59	0.58	-0.05	0.14	0.21	0.13	1.00	0.000	6.05

All units are in mg/L, EC in μS/cm; SD=Standard Deviation; SE= Standard Error; SV=Sample Variance; CV=Coffi. Of Variance

were found there is no significance and larger the coefficient of determination (R²) indicating stronger relationship between pre and post monsoon seasons

samples (Table 3). Therefore hydrogeochemical (geogenic and rock water interaction) reactions were negligible with respect to seasonally.

Conclusions

Semi-arid region of Bhaskar Rao kunta watershed groundwater quality was evaluated and revealed that the chemical parameter concentrations. Resultant of concentrations of the groundwater was not suitable for drinking purposes due to high concentrations of fluoride (<1.5mg/L), Wilcox diagram 85%, 15% of similar samples were falling under the category of C₃-S₁ and C₂-S₁ in both seasons. It indicates that high salt concentrations were present in the groundwater due to negatively affect of crop yields, therefore drainage and crop management practices were required. Low sodium irrigation water may used to any type of crop practices, Piper trilinear diagram shows 85%, 15% of similar samples are falling under the category magnesium bicarbonates and mixed type water in both seasons. Gibbs diagram indicates evaporation dominance type, no significance of precipitation and rock dominance type. Correlation matrix table gives inter interrelationship of the variables were found between EC and TDS highest correlation and correlation coefficient of 0.98, it indicates that EC is a measure of dissolved solids in the groundwater. The t-test behavior was no significance and strong correlation between pre and post monsoon seasonal variables; therefore the geogenic and rock water interaction was negligible.

References

1. APHA (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 20th edition, American Public Health Association, Washington D.C.
2. BIS (1998). Drinking Water Specifications (revised 2003). Bureau of Indian Standards IS: 10500.
3. CGWB Reports (2010). Groundwater Quality in Shallow Aquifers in India. Central Ground Water Board, Firathabad.
4. Douglas EB and Leo WN (1977). Hydrogeochemical Relationships Using Partial Correlation Coefficients. Water Resources Bull. 13:843–846.
5. Gibbs RJ (1970). Mechanisms Controlling World's Water Chemistry. Sci, pp.1089-1090.
6. Krumbein WC and Graybill FA (1965). An introduction to Statistical models in Geology. McGraw-Hill, New York.
7. Majumdar D and Gupta N., India J of Environmental Health, 28–39. 42(1), 2000.
8. Piper AM (1994). A Geographic Procedure in the Geochemical Interpretation of Water Analysis. Transactions of the American Geophysical Union, 25, 914–928.
9. Ravi Kumar P and Somashekar RK., Environ. Monit. Assess, 173:459–487, 2011.
10. Reddy D V, Nagabhushanam P, Sukhija B S, Reddy AGS and Smedley P L., India. J. Chem. Geo. 269; 278-289, 2010.
11. Reddy AGS, Reddy DV, Rao PN and Maruthy PK (2009) Environ Monit Assess DOI10.1007/s10661-009-1300-3.
12. Sunitha V, Sudarsha V, and Rajeswara Reddy B (2005). Pollution Research, 24(1), 217–224.
13. Subba Rao N (2006). J Environmental Geology, 49, 413–429.
14. Sujatha D and Rajeswara Reddy B (2003). India. J Environ Geol 44:579–586.
15. Todd DK (2001). Groundwater Hydrology. John Wiley and Sons Publication, Canada, pp.280-281.
16. Wilcox LV (1995). Classification and Use of Irrigation Waters. Washington: US Department of Agriculture (p. 19).